International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001849

Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
у на ориноп	
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the internati which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 	onal application in the language in
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original lang, which is the language of a translation furnished for the p	uage into the following language
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internation claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 	onal application and necessary to the
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	•
b. format of material	
in wirtten format	
in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in the international application as filed.	•
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or t filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or addi in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate,	itioonl goming in ideasticate at a second
. Additional comments:	
	•

International application No.
PCT/KR2004/001849

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Dale 4212 44 Vm. 44	
,	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applic	- L:::
	citations and explanations supporting such statement	apmity;
	table of the deptendions supporting such statement	

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-2	YES
	Claims	None	NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-2	YES
	Claims	None	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-2	YES
	Claims	None	NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because:

(a) the prior art dose not teach or fairly suggest a laparoscope with flexible binocular camera as claimed in the independent claim of Claim 1, (b) and thus, it apparently does not teach or fairly suggest a laparoscope with flexible binocular camera having the additional configurations as claimed in the dependent claim of Claim 2.

ΓY

		PATENT	COOPERATION	TREAT
rom the				
TAXADTA LEGITAL	077 4 77 65			

1	MUA	2004
WIPO	 F	СТ

F INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Го:	
LEE, Duck-Rog	
YEiL Patent & Trademark Int'l YEiLPAT Bldg., 669-17, Yorksam-dong, Kangnam-ku Seoul 135-915, Republic of Korea	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	30 OCTOBER 2004 (30.10.2004)
Applicant's or agent's file reference YL04013PCT	-	FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/KR2004/001849	International filing date 23 JULY 2004 (23		Priority date(day/month/year) 23 JULY 2003 (23.07.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC IPC7 A61B 1/313			(
Applicant NATIONAL CANCER CENT	TER et al		

l.	This	opinion contair	s indications relating to the following items:
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
		Box No. II	Priority
		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	X	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701, Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

JEONG, So Yeon

Telephone No. 82-42-481-5656



International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001849

	Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
	 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 	
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	l
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material	
	in wirtten format	
	in computer readable form	
	a time of five and	
.	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	- 1
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been	
	and required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional	
	in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:	
		1
	•	
		1
		١.

International application No.
PCT/KR2004/001849

Dow No. W	7 December 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
DUX MU. Y	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis 1/5///	
		V. inventive step on industrial and a second
	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelt citations and explanations supporting such statement	y inventive step or industrial applicability:
	citations and explanations supporting such statement	,

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-2	YES
	Claims	None	NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-2	
	Claims	None	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-2	NO YES
	Claims	None	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-2 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because:

(a)the prior art dose not teach or fairly suggest a laparoscope with flexible binocular camera as claimed in the independent claim of Claim 1, (b)and thus, it apparently does not teach or fairly suggest a laparoscope with flexible binocular camera having the additional configurations as claimed in the dependent claim of Claim 2.